Governor's Proposed Teacher Pension and Health Benefits Cuts

What they are:

For already retired teachers:

- Freeze pension payment levels for five years. They already have been frozen for two years and this is an extension through 2013.
- Cost of living increases, beginning in 2014, would be capped at 2%, half of the current 4%
- The prior state commitment to pay 45% of a teacher retiree's health insurance payments would end. Instead, the payment dollar amount would be frozen at the current figure for the next two years and thereafter, regardless of costs, payment increases would be capped at no more than 4% annually.
- At age 65, those who are Medicare eligible must switch to the state plan to receive the 45% payment.

Current teachers would be required to:

- Pay an additional 2% of their salary (totaling 9.65%) to the pension system. After January 1, 2012, they must reach 65, with ten years of prior service before they would be eligible to receive the health insurance subsidy. The current retirement age is 62, and five years of service is required to qualify for any pension or benefits.
- Be subject to all the same cuts as current retirees.

Teachers not eligible to retire before January 1, 2012, would fall into one of two categories:

- Those with more than five years in the system would retain their current retirement eligible age, however they would not receive any insurance subsidy until they reach age 65. In most cases that would make the de facto retirement age 65, because the current costs of a single insurance plan through the state is \$6,467.44, likely a prohibitively large sum for most.
- Teachers with from zero to five years in the system would not be eligible for retirement or health insurance subsidies until age 65.

Statistics show that only 13% of teachers work the required 25 years in Maine to receive full benefits, and of those teachers the average annual pension is \$26,000. For all retired teachers who qualify for a pension the average annual pension payment is \$19,300.

Governor's Proposed Teacher Pension and Health Benefits Cuts

Teachers are not allowed to participate in the Social Security system. Under Social Security both the employee and employer pay 6.2% (for 2011 and 2012 the employee payment has been reduced to 4.2%) on the first \$106,800. Unlike Social Security, no credits or benefits are transferable.

Teachers currently pay 7.65% of their salary into the retirement system. This would increase to 9.65% under the proposed budget. The state pays an amount equal to 5.5% of their salary. The proposed budget would reduce that to 3.5%. The state also currently pays an amount equal to 14.6% to continue to eliminate the unfunded portion of the pension system by 2028, as required by law.

Teachers in MDI school systems (except Trenton teachers whose contracts are still being aligned with the rest) also have deducted from their pay 15% of their current health insurance premiums. And all teachers hired after 1986 also pay the standard 1.45% for Medicare.

Why they are:

While proponents of pension cuts claim that benefits continued at current levels will inexorably bankrupt the system, others argue that the Governor is creating the illusion of a crisis by basing projections on 2008 data, which was the year in which all pension systems, public and private, showed enormous losses due to the market crash. They counter that over the past 20 years the pension system funds have gone from 30% of potential liability to currently close to 80%, and that a 2010 Legislative bipartisan review committee found the pension system to be actuarially sound.

In the midst of what is asserted to be a financial crisis necessitating cuts not only to teacher and other state worker pensions and health insurance benefits, but also the elimination or radical curtailment of countless programs and services ranging from payments to nursing homes for seniors to many basic public health programs, the same proposed budget includes major tax cuts, which will benefit primarily those in upper income brackets, including decrease in income taxes rates for those in the top income bracket from 8.5% to 7.95%, and an increase in exclusion from estate taxes for estates worth up to one million to estates worth up to two million dollars.

Approximately 1200 "confidential employees", usually high ranking state officials who are not members of the unions and the Governor himself, whose pension benefits are already far more generous than other state workers are proposed to be exempt from these cuts.

Many of the same advocates for these bills recently convinced Maine votes to overturn a serious Legislative effort to broaden, stabilize, and better equalize Maine's tax base, all while lowering income taxes for almost all citizens, not just

Governor's Proposed Teacher Pension and Health Benefits Cuts

those at the top rates.

It all prompts a question: Does the current administration and majority party view the current budgetary climate as a reason to worry about how to best serve Maine's citizens, or a reason to significantly weaken our ability to meet our joint responsibilities to best provide for our common good?

What they might mean to our schools:

Because of massive cuts in the level of subsidy to school systems, the state projects that approximately 1200 teachers may lose their jobs this year. Because we receive no money from the state, except for a relatively small special education subsidy, we are not facing cuts. However, the state is trying to use the January 2012 start of the proposed cuts to health insurance subsidies as a means of "encouraging" early retirement for teachers currently between 62 and 65. While no doubt in any pool of workers with 25 years of seniority, there are some for whom retirement might be mutually profitable, unfortunately MDI schools have guite a few of our most experienced and exceptional teachers in the category targeted by the Le Page budget cuts. Because of the indiscriminate nature of this "incentive", we have reason to worry about a potential "brain drain" from our classrooms. Conversely, once the window closes in January 2012, it would be financially far more difficult for any teacher to retire before the age of 65, creating the likelihood many would be forced to hang on to their classroom jobs for years longer than optimal for either themselves or for the school as a whole.

Additionally, these cuts are likely to try to come home to roost in subsequent rounds of bargaining at the local level. As teachers become increasingly financially diminished because of shrinking state-controlled benefits, don't be surprised if requests to bridge those new gaps occur in our local bargaining process.

An intangible, but very real consequence of this action is anger and demoralization among our professional staff. This financial assault is coupled with the dominant paradigm coming from the likes of politicians of all stripes, certain corporate barons, and think tank talking heads that schools are filled with "bad teachers" and therefore need to be "tipped upside down". Our teachers are professionals and they will continue to put our students first, but no one who tries to put himself or herself in their shoes these days could possibly enjoy the fit.